

Preliminary Report of the Iran Japan Joint Research Study of the Gorgan Material in the National Museum of Iran, Tehran

メタデータ	言語: eng
	出版者:
	公開日: 2014-05-09
	キーワード (Ja):
	キーワード (En):
	作成者: OHTSU, Tadahiko, FURUSE, Kiyohide, ADACHI,
	Takuro, KARAMI, Mohammad, NOJIMA, Hisashi,
	ARIMATSU, Yui, WAKIYAMA, Kana, OHTSU, Tadahiko,
	FURUSE, Kiyohide, ADACHI, Takuro, KARAMI,
	Mohammad, NOJIMA, Hisashi, ARIMATSU, Yui,
	WAKIYAMA, Kana
	メールアドレス:
	所属:
URL	https://chikushi-u.repo.nii.ac.jp/records/298

# Preliminary Report of the Iran Japan Joint Research Study of the Gorgan Material in the National Museum of Iran, Tehran

OHTSU Tadahiko, FURUSE Kiyohide, ADACHI Takuro,

Mohammad KARAMI, NOJIMA Hisashi, ARIMATSU Yui and WAKIYAMA Kana

به نام خدا

سپاسگزارم که در موزه ملت ایران ودر ارتباط با منابع باستان شناسی موجود توانستم تحقیقات علمی را به انجام برسانم و در اینجا یک قسمت از نتیجه را بصورت گزارش و بطور رسمی اعلام می دارم. لذا از سازمانهای مربوط به دو کشوار ایران و ژاپن و نسبت به تحقیقات قبلی انجام شده که سطح فر هنگ علمی ایران را بخوبی بکار برده و تا به امروز نگهداشته کمال تشکر و احترام دارم. طراح پروژه و مسَول اجراء استاد دانشگاه چیکوشی جوگاکوان

# Foreword

The accumulation and diversity of material culture from different regions held in the National Museum of Iran has motivated many researchers to undertake important collaborative work. During the past few months the Museum has begun initiatives with researchers from many countries in order to access archaeological material. Additionally, the National Museum of Iran encourages close relations with foreign universities and institutes for the purposes of conducting joint research projects and interactive programs.

The joint Iran-Japan research group is one such collaborative case. This group has been concentrating on reconstructing the archaeological and historical periods of the Gorgon region, and ascertaining the archaeological site distributions of the region. However, prior to intensive survey work research on ceramic technology was also conducted. This important ceramic material is housed in the National Museum of Iran

It is my hope that this collaboration continues in the following years to come with a memorandum of understanding to completely study the materials and to publish the results.

Azadeh Ardakani (Director, National Museum of Iran)

#### Acknowledgements

It is truly very difficult to examine anew the data of an archaeological investigation conducted more than 30 years ago, for being blessed with such an opportunity is very rare. But, fortunately this time, various necessary conditions were ready for our ardent wish to realize the plan of the research study.

From the planning stage up to the execution phase, the Embassy of the Islamic Republic of Iran in Tokyo eagerly tried to understand our research purpose and kindly supported us at all times. I wish to express my deep indebtedness, especially to Dr. Seyed Abbas Araghchi, Ambassador Extraordinary and Plenipotentiary, and Seyed Mohammad Ayatollahi and Yuri Semba, staff of the Cultural Affairs Section in the Tokyo Embassy. The Ambassador enabled Japanese team members to use the Guest House of the Foreign Ministry, situated at Bahonar, as an accommodation during our stay in Tehran.

I am full of gratitude to every person concerned at the National Museum of Iran:

Azadeh Ardakani, Director; Masoumeh Ahmadi, International Affairs Section; Mohammad Karami, Mozaffar Zarrinkouh, Nahid Ghafoori, Sima Abed Kahnamouie, Pottery Department; Nayereh Nazari, Person in Charge of Custody and Registration of Objects; Mandana Karami, Tourist Guide; and many other staff member of the Museum. They were very hospitable to us in spite of their busy conditions. I believe that it was due to their kind and prompt support that our study was a very fruitful one.

This joint project was financially supported by Chikushi Jogakuen University, the Archaeological Department of Hiroshima University and the National Museum of Iran. I wish to extend, once again, my thanks to all the individuals and organization concerned.

### Preface : origin of the research and purpose of investigation

One of the most important subjects in Iranian archaeology is the question concerning the Iron Age period, particularly its origins, transitions, diversity and demise. Research results so far attest to the southern coast of the Caspian Sea as one of the most interesting regions worthy of investigation. From 2001 through 2005, the Iran Japan Joint Archaeological Expedition performed its research at the basin of the Sefid Rud in Gilan Province. The results of this joint research are already published in a five volume report. The results of the excavation of Tappe Jalaliye, in particular, offer a chronological standard regarding the cultural changes during the Iron age period in the southern coastal area of the Caspian Sea. Additionally, a comparative study of the Iron Age culture of this area came to be desired as a subsequent area of investigation. Therefore, the research purpose for the present project was to compile archaeological data in order to conduct an east-west comparison of the Iranian Iron Age period in the southern coastal area of the Caspian Sea.

The archaeological remains, primarily ceramics (that have not yet been reported), were used as the effective data for this purpose. These artifacts are precious scientific materials collected by the Hiroshima University mission to the Gorgan Plain in 1976, directed by the late Professor Shiomi. These artfacts are now placed in the safekeeping of the National Museum of Iran, Tehran.

The following researchers from Japan were involved in the research activities: OhtsuTadahiko, Professor at Chikushi Jogakuen University; Furuse Kiyohide, Professor at Hiroshima University; Adachi Takuro, Research fellow of the Middle Eastern Culture Center in Japan; Nojima Hisashi, Associate Professor at Hiroshima University; Arimatsu Yui, Graduate student at the University of Tokyo; Wakiyama Kana, Graduate student at the University of Hiroshima. They conducted research during their stay in Tehran from February 23 to March 9 in cooperation with the previously mentioned Iranian members.

Dr. Ahmad Mirza Koochak Khoshnevis, Director of the Research Center of the Iranian Cultural Heritage, Handicrafts and Tourism Organization, kindly visited us, specifically to examine our joint research activities in the Ceramics Department of the Museum. All of the Iran-Japan joint researchers truly appreciate the understanding and cordial support of the relevant Iranian authorities.



I. The Hiroshima University Expeditions to the Gorgan plain during the 1970' s

The department of archaeology at Hiroshima University organized the University Scientific Expedition to Iran to clarify the spread of early agriculture from west Asia to east Asia. The team conducted research on more than 200 archaeological sites in the Gorgan plain near the Caspian Sea in 1971, 1974 and 1976. During the three seasons, the team undertook

Fig.1 Excavated sites in Gorgan

small scale excavations at Tappeh Sanghi-Chahamac, Tappeh Anjirab and Tappeh Hoseynābād, collecting more than 20,000 individual artifacts consisting of pottery, stone tools and other material. The results of the first season, headed by the late Professor Matsuzaki Hisashi, was published in 1973 with the title, *The way to Steppe-Archaeological Research in Iran, 1971.* The research team investigated representative sites around the north area of Iran from Rasht, Gi-

ran to Mashhad, Khorasan. A small scale excavation at Tappeh Sanghi-Chahamac near Bastam village in Semnan, west Tappeh was conducted during this field season.

The second expedition in 1974 directed by the late Professor Shiomi Hiroshi conducted a general survey in the Gorgan plain including small scale of excavations at Tappeh Anjirab and Tappeh Gorbag. This work is presented in the report entitled *Archaeological Map of the Gorgan Plain, Iran No.1* (1976). The third expedition also headed by the late Professor Shiomi continued this general survey in the Gorgan plain, and carried out small scale of excavations at Tappeh Hoseynābād. The materials are curated at the National Museum of Iran, with the results of this season published in 1978 as, *Archaeological Map of the Gorgan Plain, Iran No.2*.

Although Hiroshima University planned a fourth survey in 1978, it was cancelled due to the subsequent Islamic Revolution and the Iran-Iraq War.



Fig.2 (left) Tappeh Hoseynābād

Fig.3 (right) Test Pit B, Tappeh Hoseynābād

# II. Outline of this research study

As previously noted, our purpose for this preliminary research is to understand what kind of prehistoric pottery was manufactured in the Gorgan plain. Contrary to our expectations, the regional characteristics of the prehistoric pottery are still not understood. Consequently, it was fortunate that the National Museum of Iran has thoroughly curated the archaeological material gathered by the Hiroshima University Scientific Expedition to the Gorgan plain.

Our initial strategy to study the artifacts was to search for excavated ceramics in the pot-



Fig.4 Plan of the Tappeh Hoseynābād and the Location of the test pits A, B

tery storage rooms in the National Museum of Iran. Fortunately, 12 boxes containing many cloth bags were found in the storage rooms through Location of the test pits A, B by the efforts of the Pottery Department of the Museum. When we opened the boxes from the excavation units at Tappeh Hoseynābād (Fig.4) on 27th

February, we found that the pottery had to be washed before beginning the identifications and

analyses.

Initially our recording procedure, that was very time consuming, included photography, artifact illustrations and the compilation of detailed data. However, since our time in Tehran was limited to only two weeks (27 Feb.-9 March), and there were many bags of pottery from Tappeh Hoseynābād, we had to restrict our recording to only photography and illustrations of the pottery. We made archaeological drawings of representative examples of pottery from all layers from Tappeh Hoseynābād. Thus, with the exception of Tappeh Hoseynābād, we discovered that there was a significant sample of pottery recovered from surface surveys. Subsequent analyses must, therefore, be conducted at a future date.

# III. Preliminary report of the pottery excavated from Tappeh Hoseynābād

# 1. Introduction

We have studied a total of 3067 shards from Tappeh Hoseynābād, including surface material. This material was excavated from Layer I to Layer VII in Test Pit A, and from Layer I to layer VII in Test Pit B.

However, it is possible that we have not yet excavated all of the pottery at Tappeh Hoseynābād. The following analysis is based only on the materials that we have studied during this season. It would be necessary to verify our conclusions after analyzing all of the material. It is probable that most layers in Test Pit A have been disturbed as we have found pottery dated to the plural periods in each layer. Therefore, we will mainly discuss the results of Test Pit B in this chapter.

# Classification of pottery

Pottery excavated from Test Pit B at Tappeh Hoseynābād consists of Gray ware, painted ware, colored ware, fine ware, grit tempered ware and chaff tempered ware.

Above all, Gray Ware is a characteristic and homogeneous group and occupies a large percentage of the overall ceramic inventory (PL.II-1, 2, 4, 5, 8, PL.III-2~5, PL.IV-2~5) . It is made of dark gray and brownish gray fine paste. The surface takes on same color or can be of a darker color. It has been very carefully smoothed by burnishing, light burnish, polish and wet smooth. This ware is very solid and its shape varies; the following examples can be seen here: bowl (Fig.6-1~10, 13, 14, 23, 24) , deep bowl (Fig.7-4~6, 10) , cup (Fig.7-1~3, 8) chalice (probably on cylindrical feet) (Fig.6-11, 12, 15~21) , necked jar (Fig.7-9, 11~16) and others. The decorative elements are especially common in bowls and cups: vertical ribbing (Fig.6-6, 8~13, Fig.7-1~4) , repoussé round knobs (Fig.7-1) and incised lines (Fig.6-7, 8) also appear.

The painted ware consists of black paint on a reddish brown surface (Black painted red-

dish brown ware), brown paint on a brown surface (Brown painted brown ware), gray paint on a dull orange surface (Gray painted dull orange ware). Above all, Black painted reddish brown ware is the largest category (PL.III-1, 7, PL.IV-1, 6~8). This pottery was made of reddish brown fine paste. The surface was carefully smoothed and covered with the same colored slip. After that, it was painted with a black geometric motif. These motifs are compounded from vertical straight lines and/or wavy lines, and rarely circles (PL.IV-7). There is a characteristic motif that is composed of dense wavy lines between parallel vertical lines (PL.III-1, PL.IV-1). It seems that the grand jar was common in this ware (PL.III-1, PL.IV-1, 6, Fig.7-18). There are also some small cup (Fig.7-19) and a small product which is probably an imitatation of an animal (PL.IV-6). Brown painted brown ware is carefully burnished. Then, wavy lines and/or straight lines are painted on the inner surface of neck and on the outer surface of body to similar colors on the surface (PL.III-8). We could not decide whether Gray painted dull orange ware constitutes a group (PL.I-5, 6, 8, Fig.7-17) because there are not many represented in the sample.

We separate the colored ware from the painted ware, since it is questionable that this ware was colored with the intention to decorate it: its surface is colored only partially and irregularly (PL.I-1, PL.II-3). There is a pottery group with a black slip on a reddish brown surface (Black colored reddish brown ware). There is another group with a black slip on a brown surface (Black colored brown ware). It uses a dense, brown paste similar to that of the surface. Another kind of pottery is a reddish color on a reddish brown surface (Red colored reddish brown ware). It also has a reddish brown and dense paste. The surface has the same color. These wares consist of grand jars (Fig.8-2) and small or middle bowls (Fig.7-20, 21).

The grit tempered non-decorated ware is divided into Fine ware, Common ware, Coarse ware (PL.III-6, PL.IV-5) and Very coarse ware. One example of the Fine ware has paste that is similar to the Black colored brown ware, but without black paint (Brown fine ware) (PL.II-3, 7) . The other is similar to Black colored reddish brown ware and Red colored reddish brown ware, but without black paint or a red color (Reddish brown fine ware) (PL.II-7) . Grand jars are common in these groups (Fig.8-1, 3~5). Common ware mainly corresponds to jars (Fig.7-23, Fig.8-4) and probably bowls. Coarse ware consists of jars (Fig.8-6, 8, 9, 11, 14) , non-necked jars (Fig.8-10, 12, 13, 15~18) and bowls (Fig.8-7) .

Furthermore, in spite of very small numbers, we want to mention that there is also a chaff tempered pottery (Chaff tempered ware). It does not have any decoration nor did we find any shards that show what type of shape they are. It might be subdivided by the quantity of temper.

# 3. Stratigraphical change of pottery in Test Pit B at Tappeh Hoseynābād

Based on the above classification, we now survey the stratigraphical change of pottery.

In Layer VII (total 43 shards), the assemblage consists of mainly the grit tempered ware and the colored ware (PL.I-1) : Black colored brown ware and Red colored reddish brown ware (Fig.7-20), Coarse ware (Fig.8-6, 11), Very coarse ware. We can find also a small number of Chaff tempered ware and Gray ware (Fig.6-23, Fig.7-16).

In Layer VI (total 244 shards), we can confirm a definite Gray ware to some extent (109 shards) (PL.I-2, Fig.6-5, 22, Fig.7-15). On the other hand, Colored ware decreased in number (PL.I-3), and the proportion of Chaff tempered ware and Mineral tempered ware (PL.I-4, Fig.7-23, Fig.8-9, 17, 18) increased slightly.



Fig.5 East side section of the test pit B in the Tappeh Hoseynābād

Layer V (total of 132 shards) is characterized by the co-existence of two new kinds of wares. First, Reddish brown fine ware (15 shards), then Gray painted dull orange ware is also characteristic, but occurs in very small numbers (3 shards) (PL.I-8, Fig.7-17). Except for these, there are samples of Gray ware (PL.I-5~8, Fig.7-11, 14), Common ware (PL.I-5~7, Fig.7-23), Coarse ware (Fig.8-7, 8), Very coarse ware and a very small number of Chaff tempered ware.

In the bottom part of Layer IV (total 168 shards), the incidence of Gray ware increases (91 shards) and its shape also diversifies (PL.II-1, 2). By then, simple bowls (Fig.6-3, 4) and jars (Fig.7-12, 13) were the major shapes. From this period, the chalice on cylindrical feet probably also appeared (Fig.6-21). Gray ware, Reddish brown fine ware and Coarse ware (PL.II-3, Fig.8-16) continue in the sequence. Regarding Colored ware, there is also Black colored brown ware (Fig.7-1), and Brown fine ware (Fig.7-2) that appear at the same time (PL.II-3).

Layer IV (total 229 shards) is characterised by the appearance of Black painted reddish brown ware. However it there is only a small amount (3 shards) and its paint consists of only straight lines (PL.II-6). In this layer, Gray ware increased and its shape became more diversified (PL.II-4, 5) . The obvious appearance of the chalice on cylindrical feet (Fig.6-17~20) and the grand deep jars (Fig.7-6, 9) are especially characteristic. In addition to Reddish brown fine ware (Fig.8-3, 5) , Brown fine ware also continued. And the colored wares are also found.

In Layer III (total 63 shards), Black painted reddish brown ware increases slightly (10 shards) (PL.III-1). Among these, the characteristic motif of this ware (dense wavy lines between parallel vertical lines) appeared. Gray ware also increased (PL.II-8, Fig.6-14, 16, Fig.7-7). Vertical ribbing (Fig.6-9) and incised lines (Fig.6-10) represent a significant change in this period.

After Layer II (total 443 shards in Layer II; total of 322 shards in Layer I), Gray ware (PL. III-2~5, PL.IV-2~4) and Black painted reddish brown ware (PL.III-7, PL.IV-1, 6~8, Fig.7-19) became the majority (Gray ware: 145 shards in Layer II, 140 shards in Layer I. Black painted reddish brown ware: 229 shards in Layer II, 152 shards in Layer I). Additionally, vertical ribbing was used for Gray ware (PL.III-2, PL.IV-3, Fig.6-6, 11~13, Fig.7-1~4), moreover the repoussé round knobs (PL.III-4, PL.IV-3, 4, Fig.7-1) and the incised lines (Fig.6-7, 8) were introduced. At the same time, its shape also changed (Fig.6-11, 12, Fig.7-8, 10) : it might be that the pear-shaped cup increased (Fig.7-1~3). There is nearly only Coarse ware in the assemblage (PL.III-5, 6, PL.IV-5, Fig.8-10, 12~14), except these two wares. Only in Layer II, Brown painted brown ware also existed (37 shards) (PL.III-8).

In Test Pit B, we can find the sequence that represents the entire assemblage and also details about each ware themselves. At first, in Layer VII and layer VI, the colored ware, the grit tempered ware and a small number of Chaff mingled. Following this period, we can point out some additional characteristics: the rise of Black painted reddish brown ware, the morphological diversification of Gray ware. And especially after Layer II, the polarization of the assemblage itself into these wares was intensifying.

## Position of the pottery from Test Pit B at Tappeh Hoseynābād

## 4.1. Chronological position

Black painted reddish brown ware is comparable to the pottery excavated from TurengTépé and Shah Tepe. At the former, this ware was excavated from period IIA (Deshayes 1967; Deshayes 1966: PLANCHE II-Fig.7; Martinez 1990: Planche 47-fig. 1, Planche 48-Fig.1, Fig.7). At Shah Tepe, similar examples were excavated from deposits from the period III (Arne 1945: 164-170, fig. 276, 278, 282, 283, 286, 287, 294, 295, pl. XLI).

Similar examples of Gray Ware were also excavated from Tepe Hesār, in addition to Shah Tepe and Tureng Tépé. The chalice (Schmidt 1933: Pl. XCVII-H1664, Pl. XCVIII-H1604; Schmidt 1937: Pl. XXV-H5119, H3917; Arne 1945: Fig.348, 349; Deshayes 1966: PLANCHE I-Fig.3; Martinez 1990: Planche 37-fig.1~7) and the pear-shaped cup (Schmidt 1933: PLATE XCVIII-H1150; Schmidt 1937: PLATE XXVI-H4177, H4783, H2208; Martinez 1990: Planche 39) are comparable to shapes found at Tepe Hesār IIA~IIB, Shah Tepe III, and Tureng Tépé IIA.

Furthermore, by comparison of the decoration of Gray ware, we can find the vertical ribbing at Tepe Hesār IIB (Schmidt 1937: PLATE XXV-H5070), Shah Tepe III~IIb (Arne 1945: PL. XLIV-Fig.315), Tureng Tépé IIA (Deshayes 1966: PLANCHE I-Fig.3; Martinez 1990: Planche 29-fig.3, Planche 39, Planche 43-fig.1, Planche 45-fig.3, 4, 7~9, 12, Planche 46-fig.7, 8). Additionally, the incised lines and the repoussé round knobs are often used at these sites in the same period. At Tureng Tépé, many of them date to this period IIA (Martinez 1990: Planche 28-fig. 2, 3, Planche 37-fig. 8~12, Planche 39-fig. 6, 7, Planche 45-fig.5, Planche 46-fig.3, 5, 6, 11, 17, 18).

Consequently, most of the pottery excavated from Test Pit B (especially Layer III where Black painted reddish brown ware was found and a variety of Gray wares occur) at Tappeh Hoseynābād is contemporaneous with Tepe Hesār IIA~IIB, Shah Tepe III~IIb, and Tureng Tépé IIA: in essence, these wares are representative of the Bronze Age. In comparison with Tepe Hesār, they date to the late fourth millennium B.C. (Dyson and Remsen 1989: 108).

## 4.2. Regional position

Gray Ware is found not only in Gorgan, but also in Northern Iran (Arimatsu 2008; Mousavi 2001; Mousavi 2005) . Considering this point, we can interpret that Tappeh Hoseynābād was situated in the easternmost area of the Bronze Age Gray Ware culture. On the other hand, the examples of Black painted reddish brown ware are limited to the Gorgan region. The Colored ware groups and Fine ware groups co-existed with Gray ware before the appearance of Black painted reddish brown ware; we cannot point out any other similar examples. These groups might not be comparable just because of their simplicity. However, it is certain that they were not distributed more widely than Gray ware.



Fig. 6 Pottery excavated from Tappeh Hoseynābād



Fig.7 Pottery excavated from Tappeh Hoseynābād



Fig.8 Pottery excavated from Tappeh Hoseynābād

Tabl	е 	otter	ry stu	٨pr												
Fig. No.	PI. No.	Bag. No.	Draw. No.	. Test pit	Layer	Shape	Part	%	Color			Decoration and Technique		Temper	Method (H/W)	Period
									.u	clay	out	.i	out			
6-1	$\Pi(5)$	045	488	в	=	Bowl	Rim	5	10YR4/1	10YR4/1	10YR4/1	Light burnish	Light burnish, Polish	Fine	т	TH IIA-IIB, ST III-IIb, TT IIA
6-2	II(5)	044	458	œ	N	Bowl	Rim	5	10RY5/1	10YR6/2	10RY4/1	Light burnish	Burnish	Fine	т	TH IIA, ST III, or Trans. p (TT I to II?)
6-3	II(2)	049	539	в	Low. IV	Bowl	Rim	5	10YR4/1	10YR5/1	10YR4/1	Wet smooth	Light burnish	A few spalls	т	TH IIA, ST III, or Trans. p (TT I to II?)
6 - 4	II (1)	030	375	в	Low. IV	Bowl	Rim	5	5Y3/1	5Y5/1	5Y3/1	Wet smooth, Light Burnish	Burnish, W et smooth	A few spalls	т	TH IIA, ST III, or Trans. p (TT I to II?)
6-5	I (2)	017	190	ю	N	Bowl	Rim	5	7.5Y6/1	7.5Y7/1	7.5Y6/1	Wet smooth after Light	W et smooth after Light	Fine	т	Trans. p (TT I to II?)
9-9		001	026	в	_	Bowl	Rim	5	10YR4/1	2.5Y5/1	10YR4/1	Burnish	Burnish, Ribbed lines	Fine	т	TH IIA-IIB, ST III-IIb, TT IIA
6-7		001	027	ю	_	Bowl	Rim	5	10YR4/1	2.5Y5/1	10YR4/1	Burnish	Burnish, Incised lines	Fine	т	TH IIA-IIB, ST III-IIb, TT IIA
6-8	$\Pi(2)$	0.08	107	ю	=	Bowl	Rim	5	10YR3/1	10YR5/1	10YR3/1	Burnish	Burnish, Ribbed lines, Incised	Fine	т	TH IIA-IIB, ST III-IIb, TT IIA
6-9	$II_{(8)}$	048	529	ю	目	Bowl	Rlm	5	10YR5/1	7.5YR5/1	10YR5/1	Burnish	Burnish, Ribbed lines	Fine	т	TH IIA-IIB, ST III-IIb, TT IIA
6-10	II(8)	048	528	ß	Ħ	Bowl	Rlm	5	10YR3/1	10YR6/2	10YR4/1	Burnish	Burnish, Ribbed lines	Fine, Gray core	т	TH IIA-IIB, ST III-IIb, TT IIA
6-11	IV(4)	018	229	ш	_	Chalice	Rim	10	2.5Y3/2	2.5Y4/2	2.5Y3/1	Light burnish	Light burnish, Burnish, Ribbec lines	l Fine	т	TH IIA-IIB, ST III-IIb, TT IIA
6-12	Π(2)	0.08	110	ß	=	Chalice?	Rim	5	10YR5/2	10YR5/3	10YR4/1	Wet smooth, Light burnish	W et sm ooth, Burnish, Ribbed lines	Fine	т	TH IIA-IIB, ST III-IIb, TT IIA
6-13	Ш(5)	045	489	ß	=	Bowl	Rim	5	2.5Y3/1	2.5Υ5/1	2.5Y3/1	Burnish	Burnish, Ribbed lines	Fine	т	TH IIA-IIB, ST III-IIb, TT IIA
6-14	II(8)	048	527	æ	Ħ	Bowl	Rlm	5	7.5YR3/1	10YR7/2	7.5YR3/1	Burnish	Burnish	Fine	т	TH IIA-IIB, ST III-IIb, TT IIA
6-15	$IV_{(2)}$	001	020	æ	_	Chalice?	Rim-	5	7.5YR4/1	2.5Υ5/1	2.5YR5/1	Wet smooth	Burnish	Fine	т	TH IIA-IIB, ST III-IIb, TT IIA
6-16	II(8)	048	530	ш	Ħ	Chalice?	Rlm	5	7.5YR2/1	10YR6/1	7.5YR2/1	Light burnish	Burnish	Fine	т	TH IIA-IIB, ST III-IIb, TT IIA
6-17	$II_{(4)}$	029	357	ю	N	Chalice?	Rim	5	5Y7/1	5Y5/1	5Y4/1	Light burnish	Light burnish	Fine	т	TH IIA, ST III, or Trans. p (TT I to II?)
6-18	II(5)	044	461	ю	N	Bowl?	Rlm	5	10RY3/1	10YR6/2	10RY5/2	Light burnish	Light burnish	Fine	т	TH IIA, ST III, or Trans. p (TT I to II?)
6-19	Ш(5)	045	487	ю	=	Chalice	Rim	5	10YR5/1	10YR5/1	10YR5/1	Light burnish	Light Polish	A few spalls	т	TH IIA-IIB, ST III-IIb, TT IIA
6-20	$II_{(4)}$	029	356	ю	N	Chalice	Rim	5	5Y4/1	5Y5/1	5Y4/1	Light burnish	Burnish	Fine	т	TH IIA, ST III, or Trans. p (TT I to II?)
6-21	II (1)	030	377	ю	Low. IV	Chalice	Rim	5	5Y6/1	5 Y6/2	5 Y 5/1	Light burnish	Light burnish	A few spalls	т	TH IIA, ST III, or Trans. p (TT I to II?)
6-22	I (2)	017	192	ю	N	Bowl	Base	5	7.5Y6/1	7.5Y6/1	7.5Y5/1	Wet smooth after Light	W et smooth after Light	Fine	т	Trans. p (TT I to II?)
6-23	I (1)	067	703	æ	Ш	Bowl	Rim	5	10YR5/1	10YR5/1	10YR3/1	Wetsmooth	W et smoothe, Light buinish	A few spalls	т	Trans. p (TT I to II?)
7-1	Π(4)	0.08	115	ш	=	Bowl	Rim	5	2.5Y3/1	10YR5/2	2.5Y3/1	Wet smooth, Burnish	W et smooth, Burnish, Indented pattern, Knobs, Ribbed lines	Fine, Gray core	т	TH IIA-IIB, ST III-IIb, TT IIA
7-2		001	025	в	_	Bowl	Rim	5	10YR4/1	2.5Y5/1	10YR4/1	Burnish	Burnish, Ribbed lines	Fine	т	TH IIA-IIB, ST III-IIb, TT IIA
7-3	Π(2)	0 0 8	112- 113	ш	=	Bowl	Rim	2	10YR5/2	10YR5/2	10YR4/1	Wet smooth, Burnish	Burnish, Ribbed lines	Fine	т	TH IIA-IIB, ST III-IIb, TT IIA
7-4	$IV_{(3)}$	010	160	в	_	Jar	Rim	5	2.5Y6/1	2.5Y6/1	7.5YR4/2	Wet smooth	Burnish, Ribbed lines	A few spalls	т	TH IIA-IIB, ST III-IIb, TT IIA
7-5	Ш(4)	0.08	117	в	=	Jar	Rim	5	10YR4/1	5YR5/6	10YR4/1	Wetsmooth	Light polish	Fine	н	TH IIA-IIB, ST III-IIb, TT IIA
7-6	II (7)	044	456	в	N	Jar	Rim	5	2.5Y5/1	2.5Y6/1	2.5Υ4/1	Wet smooth, Light burnish	Polish	Fine	т	TH IIA, ST III, or Trans. p (TT I to II?)
7-7	II(8)	048	525	в	Ħ	Jar	Rlm	5	7.5YR5/1	7.5YR5/1	7.5YR4/1	Wetsmooth	W et smooth	Fine	н	TH IIA-IIB, ST III-IIb, TT IIA
7-8	Ш(3)	045	483	в	=	Bowl	Rim	5	10Y R5/1	10YR6/2	10RY5/1	Light burnish	Light burnish	Fine	т	TH IIA-IIB, ST III-IIb, TT IIA
7-9	II(5)	044	455	ß	N	Jar	Rim	5	2.5Y5/2	2.5Y4/2	2.5Y6/3	Light burnish	Light burnish	A few spalls	т	TH IIA, ST III, or Trans. p (TT I to II?)
7-10	$IV_{(2)}$	001	16	ю	_	Bowl	Rim	5	10YR4/1	2.5Y5/1	10YR4/1	Burnish	Light burnish	Fine	т	TH IIA-IIB, ST III-IIb, TT IIA
7-11	I (8)	041	470	œ	Δ	Jar	Rlm	5	5YR4/1	5YR4/1	5YR4/1	Light burnish	Burnish	Some spalls	т	Trans. p (TT I to II?)

id Period		TH IIA, ST III, or Trans. p (TT I to II?)	TH IIA, ST III, or Trans. p (TT I to II?)	Trans. p (TT I to II?)	Trans. p (TT I to II?)	Trans. p (TT I to II?)	Trans. p (TT I to II?)	TH IIA-IIB, ST III-IIb, TT IIA	TH IIA-IIB, ST III-IIb, TT IIA	Trans. p (TT I to II?)	Trans. p (TT I to II?)	Trans. p (TT I to II?)	Trans. p (TT I to II?)	TH IIA, ST III, or Trans. p (TT I to II?)	TH IIA, ST III, or Trans. p (TT I to II?)	TH IIA, ST III, or Trans. p (TT I to II?)	TH IIA-IIB, ST III-IIb, TT IIA	TH IIA, ST III, or Trans. p (TT I to II?)	TH IIA-IIB, ST III-IIb, TT IIA	Trans. p (TT I to II?)	TH IIA-IIB, ST III-IIb, TT IIA	TH IIA-IIB, ST III-IIb, TT IIA	TH IIA-IIB, ST III-IIb, TT IIA	TH IIA, ST III, or Trans. p (TT I to II?)	TH IIA, ST III, or Trans. p (TT I to II?)	Trans. p (TT I to II?)	Trans. p (TT I to II?)					
Metho (H/W)		т	т	т	т	т	т	т	т	т	т	т	т	т	т	т	т	т	т	т	т	т	т	т	т	т	т	т	т	т	т	
Temper		Fine, Firing after boring	A few spalls	A few spalls	Fine	t A few spalls	Fine	Fine	Fine, Mica	Fine	Fine	Fine	Fine	Fine	A few spalls	Fine, Mica	Fine	A few spalls	Some spalls	A few spalls	A lot of spalls	Some spalls	A lot of spalls	A few spalls	Some spalls	Some spalls	Some spalls	Some spalls	Some spalls	Some spalls	A lot of spalls	
	out	Light burnish	Light burnish	Polish	Light burnish	Light burnish after Black paint on Buff	Light burnish, Black paint on Red wash	Wet smooth, Black paint on Red wash	Burnish, Black paint on Red wash	Wet smooth, Red slip	Burnish	Burnish, Black paint on Buff?	Light burnish, Red slip	Wet smooth, Light burnish	Wet smooth	Light burnish	Wet smooth	Wet smooth, Light burnish	Wet smooth	Wet smooth after Red slip	Wet smooth	Wet smooth	Wet smooth	Wet smooth	Wet smooth	Wet smooth	Wet smooth					
Decoration and Technique	in	Light burnish	Light burnish	Light burnish	Wet smooth after Light	Wet smooth after Black paint on Buff	Light burnish	Black paint on Red wash	Wet smooth, Red wash			Wet smooth	Wet smooth	Wetsmooth	Wetsmooth	Light burnish	Light burnish, Wet smooth	Wet smooth, Light burnish	Wetsmooth	Wetsmooth	Wetsmooth	Wet smooth	Wetsmooth	Wet smooth after Red slip	Wetsmooth	Wetsmooth	Wetsmooth	Wet smooth	Wetsmooth	Wetsmooth	Wet smooth	
	out	10YR3/1	5Y3/1	5YR4/1	7.5Y5/1	7.5YR7/4, 7.5YR4/1	5YR5/6, 5YR4/2	10R4/6, 5YR3/1	10Y5/6, 10R4/2	5YR4/4	7.5YR6/4	7.5YR6/4	5YR6/4	10YR6/4	10YR6/4	5YR6/6	5YR6/6	2.5YR5/6	2.5YR6/3- 6/6	2.5YR5/6	10YR5/3	5YR6/4	7.5YR3/1	5YR4/4	7.5YR3/1	7.5YR3/1	2.5Y3/1	2.5Υ5/1	10YR5/2	7.5YR6/2	5YR2/1	:
	clay	10YR6/1	5Y5/1	5YR5/1	7.5Y6/1	2.5YR6/6	5YR6/6	2.5YR6/6	2.5YR6/8			7.5YR6/4	10YR6/2	2.5Y6/3	10YR6/4	5YR6/3	5YR6/4	2.5YR6/6	2.5YR6/6	5 Y R 6/4	10YR5/1	7.5YR6/2	7.5YR5/2	5YR6/6	7.5YR5/2	7.5YR5/2	7.5YR6/4	2.5Y5/1	2.5Y6/1	7.5YR6/1	5YR4/2	
Color	in	10YR3/1	5Y3/1	5YR4/1	7.5Y6/1	7.5YR7/4, 7.5YR4/1	5YR6/6	10R4/6, 5YR3/1	2.5YR4/6			7.5YR6/4	5YR3/1	10YR6/4	10YR6/4	5 Y R6/4	5 Y R6/8	2.5YR6/6	2.5YR6/6	5 Y R6/4	10YR5/1	7.5YR6/2	7.5YR4/2	5YR4/4	7.5YR4/2	7.5YR4/2	2.5Υ3/1	2.4Y4/1	10YR3/1	7.5YR3/1	5YR6/6	
%		5	ŝ	ŝ	ŝ	5	Ð	ŝ	40	2	5	2	ŝ	ъ	5	ŝ	5	ŝ	5	ŝ	2	5	ŝ	2	2	2	2	2	5	2	2	
Part		Rim	Rim	Rim	Rim	Rim	Rim	Necl	- Rim- Base	Rin	Base	Rin	Rim	Rim	Rim	Rin	Rim	Rim	Rim	Rim	Rim	Rim	Rin	Rim	Rim	Rim	Rim	Rim	Rim	Rim	Rim	
Shape		IV Jar	IV Jar	Jar	Jar	Jar	Bowl	Jar	Bowl with Spout?	Bowl	Bowl	Jar	Jar	IV Jar	IV Jar	Jar	Jar	Jar	Jar	Jar	Jar	Jar	Jar	Jar	Jar	Jar	Jar	Jar	IV Jar	Jar	Jar	
Laye		Low.	Low.	Δ	N	Ш	Δ	=	_	Ш	M	Ħ	2	Low.	Low.	N	Ħ	N	Ш	٨	Þ	N	_	۳	_	_	=	N	Low.	N	N	Þ. 1
Test pit		æ	ш	в	ш	в	ш	ш	ш	ш	в	в	в	ш	в	в	в	в	в	8	в	в	в	ш	в	в	в	в	в	в	в	layer I
Draw. No.		538	376	472	191	704	466	37	225	701	7 08	707	555	364	363	450	515	448	669	557	558	206	163	7 00	164	162	124	465	544	208	199	part of
Bag. No.		049	030	041	017	067	041	002	018	067	067	067	050	030	030	044	048	044	067	050	050	017	010	067	010	010	008	044	049	017	017	ower.
Чо.		Π(2)	II (1)	I (8)	I (2)	I (1)	I (8)	W(1)	W(7)	I (1)	I (1)	I (1)	I (6)	II (3)	II (3)	II (7)	Ш(1)	II (7)	I (1)	I (6)	I (6)	I (3)	(12) (U	I (1)	(12) (U	(V5)	Ш(6)	II (5)	II (2)	I (3)	I (4)	л: <mark>И</mark> : Г
Fig. No. I		7-12	7-13	7-14	7-15	7-16	7-17	7-18	7-19	7-20	7-21	7-22	7-23	8-1	8-2	8-3	8-4	8-5	8-6	8-7	8-8	8-9	8-10	8-11	8-12	8-13	8-14	8-15	8-16	8-17	8-18	× Low

**XBurnish**: with luster and appearing polishing line. Light burnish: no luster but appearing polishing line. Light polish: no luster and no appearing polishing line. **XTH:** Tepe Hesar. ST: Shah Tepe. TT: Tureng Tépé. **XTans.** p: Transitional period

PL. I



(1) Test Pit B, Layer VII





(3) Test Pit B, Layer VI



(4) Test Pit B, Layer VI



(5) Test Pit B, Layer V



(6) Test Pit B, Layer  $\rm V$ 



(7) Test Pit B, Layer  $\rm V$ 



(8) Test Pit B, Layer V

PL. II





(1) Test Pit B, Under part of Layer IV

(2) Test Pit B, Under part of Layer IV



(3) Test Pit B, Under part of Layer  ${\rm I\!V}$ 



(4) Test Pit B, Layer  ${\rm I\!V}$ 



(5) Test Pit B, Layer IV



(6) Test Pit B, Layer  $\mathrm{I\!V}$ 



(7) Test Pit B, Layer IV



(8) Test Pit B, Layer  $\mathrm{I\!I\!I}$ 



(1) Test Pit B, Layer III

(2) Test Pit B, Layer II



(3) Test Pit B, Layer II

(4) Test Pit B, Layer II



(5) Test Pit B, Layer  $\rm I\!I$ 



(6) Test Pit B, Layer  $\rm I\!I$ 



(7) Test Pit B, Layer  $\rm I\!I$ 

(8) Test Pit B, Layer II

PL. IV



(1) Test Pit B, Layer II

(2) Test Pit B, Layer I



(3) Test Pit B, Layer I



(4) Test Pit B, Layer I



(5) Test Pit B, Layer I



(6) Test Pit B, Layer I



(7) Test Pit B, Layer I

(8) Test Pit B, Layer I

### Prospects of the archaeological study at Tappeh Hoseynābād

Gray Ware represents the majority of pottery at Tappeh Hoseynābād, and is an indicator of the Bronze Age in Northern Iran. However, the process of its appearance and the background of distribution have not yet been well understood. If we consider the remark that Gray ware in Iran had originated in the Gorgan plain (Dyson and Remsen 1989: 108), the materials excavated from Tappeh Hoseynābād would offer important dates for revealing the regional change in Bronze Age Culture, not only in Gorgan, but also in the rest of Iran. At the same time, we confirm stratigraphic changes in pottery at Tappeh Hoseynābād. If we base our understanding of dating on this sequence, it will be possible to subdivide and clarify the chronology of this period.

### Bibliography

Arne, T. J., 1945, Excavations at Shah Tepe, Iran. Stockholm: Eranders Boktrvckeri Aktiebolag.

- Arimatsu, Y., 2008, Transition from the Bronze Age to the Early Iron Age in the northern part of Iran: an analysis of pottery excavated from the deep layer of Noruz Mahale, *Bulletin of the Society for Near Eastern Studies in Japan* 50/2: 28–54.
- Deshayes, J., 1966, Rapport préliminaire sur les troisième et quatrième campagnes de fouilles à TurengTepe, *Iranica Antiqua* 6 : 1–5.
- Deshayes, J., 1967, Céramiques peintes de Tureng Tepe, Iran 5: 123-131.
- Dyson, R. H. Jr. and W. C. S. Remsen, 1989, Observations on architecture and stratigraphy at Tappeh Hesär, In Dyson, R. H. Jr. and S. M. Howard (eds.), Tappeh Hesär: Reports of the Restudy Project, 1976, Firenze: Case Editrice le Lettere, 69–109.
- Martinez, L.A., 1990, Les inhumations de l'âge du Bronze de TurengTepe, Iran.Paris :Mémoire de mâtrised' archéologiede l'Orient Ancien, l'Université de Paris I.
- Mousavi, A., 2001, La region de Teheran à l'Aube de l'Age de Fer : reflexions et commentaires sur les nécropolis du IIe millénaire av. J.-C., *Iranica Antiqua* 36: 151-212.

Mousavi, A., 2005, Comments on the Early Iron Age in Iran, Iranica Antiqua 40: 87-99.

- Schmidt, E. F., 1933, Tepe Hissar Excavations 1931, *The Museum Journal* XXIII-4, Philadelphia: The University Museum, The University of Pennsylvania.
- Schmidt, E. F., 1937, *Excavations at Tepe Hissar, Damghan*, Philadelphia: The University Museum, The University of Pennsylvania.

### IV. Recent Archaeological Investigations in Gorgan-Northeast of Iran

The closed relations between prehistoric cultures of northeast Iran and its neighbors, the origin of Parthian culture and the splendor of the Islamic periods are some of the main subjects

that attract many researchers to this region. Japanese archaeologists and their investigations in this zone have conducted many joint archaeological projects north of Iran before and after the 1979 Islamic revolution.

After a long interruption, five seasons of systematic survey and excavation was conducted by joint Iranian and Japanese archaeologists between 2001 to 2005 that yielded new data from the north of Iran during the prehistoric to Islamic periods. On the other hand, in addition to the archaeological investigations, interdisciplinary research also received attention. The Iranian research on this project as well as publications made this one of the best organized, and systematic projects (Ohtsu et al., 2003, 2004a, 2004b and 2005).

In recent years a series of surveys and excavations especially in the Gorgan region has shed new light on the archaeology of northeast Iran. Defensive wall excavations are one of the important projects that deals with the historical and Islamic periods (H. Omrani and E. Suer, 2006). The Jorjan project in particular, conducted by ICAR (M. Mortezaiee, 2005a and 2005b), is an important defensive wall outline.

Narges Tape is located at the Emam airport and is one the important sites in the Gorgan plain that is represented by prehistoric, Iron age, Parthian and Islamic periods. It was excavated during the 2004 and 2006 seasons (Gh. Abbasi, 2007). Some researchers believe that Narges Tape possessed evidence of the Median and Achamenid periods (Attaiee, 2008).



Fig.9 Toli Tape (left) and Solieman Tape in Minou Dash Plain, Gorgan



At the same time, the Minou Dasht plain was surveyed and about 80 archaeological sites were found (M. M. Borhani, 2005). The material culture of this survey revealed a lengthy occupation in the region from the 6th millennium B.C. until late Islamic period.

The study of material from previous archaeological investigations and field projects has been part of the northeast region's researchmandate during recent decades. Restudy of the Shah Tepe material culture is one important initiative (Orsaria, 1995). The National Museum of Iran also has a lot of Gorgan archaeological material that can be reanalyzed using new methods and modern techniques. Fortunately, in recent years the Pottery Department of the National Museum of Iran has created good opportunities for researchers to access and study the ceramics from different regions of Iran. In this way, we are pleased to have close reciprocal relationships with other research centers as well as universities outside of Iran. During 2010 we received a Japanese team that was working in Iran since before the Gorgan survey. This survey was conducted by a Japanese team before the 1979 Islamic revolution, and as yet is unpublished. The first steps have been successful and we hope that this joint project continues in the future. However, the next field studies are required before any future activities are to be conducted.

## References

Abbasi, Gh. Ali, 2007, Second season of Narges Tape excavations, H. Fazeli (ed.), ICAR, p. 92.

- Ataiee, M. Taghi, 2008, Narges Tape and evidences of Median and Achamenid periods in Gorgan, *Bastan Shaenasi vaTarikh*, Vol. 44, pp. 42–55.
- Borhani, M. Mehdi, 2005, Survry of Minou Dash plain, Gorgan, Archive of ICAR, Unpublished Report.
- Ohtsu, T., J. Nokandeh, K. Yamauchi (eds.), 2003, Preliminary Report of the Iran Japan Joint Archaeological Expedition to Gilan, First Season 2001, Tokyo.
- Ohtsu, T., J. Nokandeh, K. Yamauchi, 2004a, Preliminary Report of the Iran Japan Joint Archaeological Expedition to Gilan, Second Season 2002, Tokyo.
- Ohtsu, T., J. Nokandeh, K. Yamauchi, 2004b, Preliminary Report of the Iran Japan Joint Archaeological Expedition to Gilan, Third Season, Tokyo.
- Ohtsu, T., J. Nokandeh, K. Yamauchi, 2005, Preliminary Report of the Iran Japan Joint Archaeological Expedition to Gilan, Fourth Season, Tokyo.
- Omrani, Hamid and E. Suer, 2006, Excavation of Gorgan Defensive Wall, Archive of ICAR, Unpublished Report.
- Orsaria, F., 1995, Shah Tape: A new Approach to an old excavation, *Revisita delgi studi orientali*, 63/ 3-4, pp. 481-495.
- Mortezaiee, Mohammad, 2005a, The fourth season of Jorjan excavation, Archive of ICAR, Unpublished Report.
- Mortezaiee, Mohammad, 2005b, Restoration and organization of Jorjan old city, Archive of ICAR, Unpublished Report.

本論は、筑紫女学園大学・短期大学部 平成21年度「特別研究助成費」を受けて実施した日本・イラン共同考古学調査(資料調査)の成果報告である。

(おおつ ただひこ:アジア文化学科 教授)

- (ふるせ きよひで:広島大学大学院文学研究科 教授)
- (あだち たくろう:中近東文化センター附属博物館 研究員)
  - (モハンマド キャラミ:イラン国立博物館 研究官)
  - (のじま ひさし:広島大学大学院文学研究科 准教授)
    - (ありまつ ゆい:リヨン第2大学 博士課程)
  - (わきやま かな:広島大学大学院文学研究科 博士課程)